

Evaluation of Lectures and Seminars in the Master's Program "Sociology - European Societies" - Winter Term 2010/11

	How would you judge the work load for this seminar?	How many hours did you invest into this seminar per week?	The lecturer was generally well prepared.	The lecturer was able to explain the topics.	The seminar program was well-structured.	The single seminar sessions were well-structured.	The lecturer could be approached with questions.	The lecturer was able to accept other perspectives.	The atmosphere in the seminar was conducive to learning.	In the seminar there was sufficient room for discussion.	Due to the seminar my interest in the topic has increased.	I learned a lot during this seminar.	I would recommend the seminar to others.	I liked this seminar more than others in the programme.	How would you grade the seminar in whole ?	I was interested in the topic of the seminar.	I was prepared for the weekly meetings.	I read the obligatory texts.
	1 much too low ... 5 much too high	Number of hours	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 very good ... 5 unsatisfactory	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree	1 completely agree ... 5 completely disagree
1	3.5	4.9	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.5	1.3	1.4	2.0	1.6	1.5	1.2	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.8	2.0	2.1
2	3.4	7.1	1.8	1.5	1.7	2.4	2.1	2.7	2.0	1.6	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.8	2.3	2.1	1.9	1.8
3	3.4	5.4	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.4	2.4	1.6	2.2	2.4	2.2
4	3.2	4.2	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.5	1.6	2.2	2.0
5	3.1	4.9	1.4	1.3	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.7	1.8	1.6	2.3	1.7	1.6	2.3	2.2
6	3.3	4.0	1.6	1.4	1.6	2.2	1.3	1.5	2.2	1.7	2.2	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.0
7	3.1	3.3	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.1	1.6	1.5	1.4	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2	1.6	2.6	2.8	2.6
8	3.8	4.6	1.0	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.7	2.1	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.6	1.7
9	3.0	4.7	1.0	1.4	1.6	2.0	1.4	1.7	1.1	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.9
10	2.9	3.0	1.1	1.1	1.7	2.4	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.4	2.0	1.3	1.9	1.7	1.9	3.1	2.9
11	3.0	3.2	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	1.8	1.9	3.0	2.5	2.9	2.7	3.1	3.6	3.0	2.4	3.1	3.0
12	3.1	3.2	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.8	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.1	1.9	1.8	2.6	2.6
13	3.2	11.7	1.3	1.2	1.6	1.7	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.8	1.6	1.5	2.1	1.6	1.5	2.5	2.2
14	2.8	5.6	1.8	1.3	1.8	2.2	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.2	2.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.3	2.2	2.5
15	3.1	8.2	1.3	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.6	2.1	1.6	1.9	1.5	2.6	2.7
<b>Mean</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>

Values in the table indicate mean values per lecture or seminar.  
 No evaluations are available for 5 out of 20 seminars / lectures.