

MA Sociology - European Societies  
 Course Evaluations Winter 2011/2012

Seminar / Lecture ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	Mean
How would you judge the work load for this seminar/lecture?	3.1	3.2	3.0	3.4	3.4	3.9	3.4	2.9	2.8	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.1	2.9	<b>3.2</b>
How many hours did you invest into this seminar/lecture per week?	4.0	4.3	3.0	6.3	9.4	5.8	10.7	8.4	3.2	4.4	4.2	6.2	14.0	5.5	3.1	3.5	<b>6.0</b>
Compared to other seminars/lectures, how do you regard the work load?	3.1	3.3	2.6	3.8		4.1	4.3	3.6	1.8	3.4	3.4	3.3	4.0	2.8	2.8	2.7	<b>3.3</b>
The lecturer was generally well prepared.	1.1	1.4	1.5	1.0	1.9	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.6	2.5	2.1	2.2	<b>1.6</b>
The lecturer was able to explain the topics.	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.9	1.3	1.1	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.8	1.3	2.5	2.4	2.4	<b>1.6</b>
The seminar/lecture program was well-structured.	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.1	2.2	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.9	2.0	1.6	2.3	1.6	3.4	<b>1.7</b>
The single seminar/lecture sessions were well-structured.	1.6	1.8	2.4	1.2	2.1	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.4	2.6	1.3	2.4	1.9	3.1	<b>1.8</b>
The lecturer could be approached with questions.	1.1	1.2	1.7	1.2	2.0	1.5	1.1	1.1	1.7	1.1	1.4	2.2	1.3	2.0	2.1	2.3	<b>1.6</b>
The lecturer was able to accept other perspectives.	1.6	2.4	1.4	1.3	2.4	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.8	1.2	1.2	2.8	1.4	2.1	1.8	1.9	<b>1.7</b>
The atmosphere in the seminar/lecture was conducive to learning.	1.5	2.2	1.6	1.5	2.3	1.8	1.3	1.9	1.2	1.3	1.3	2.6	1.4	2.6	2.3	2.4	<b>1.8</b>
In the seminar/lecture there was sufficient room for discussion.	1.9	2.1	1.3	1.8	2.1	1.7	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.1	2.3	1.6	1.8	2.3	2.5	<b>1.7</b>
Due to the seminar/lecture my interest in the topic has increased.	1.9	2.5	1.4	1.7	2.8	1.7	1.6	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.4	2.3	1.9	1.6	2.5	2.4	<b>1.9</b>
I learned a lot during this seminar/lecture.	1.5	2.2	1.8	1.5	2.1	1.4	1.1	2.0	2.2	1.3	1.3	2.1	1.4	2.3	2.1	2.7	<b>1.8</b>
I would recommend the seminar/lecture to others.	1.4	2.7	1.4	1.3	2.4	1.6	1.0	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.4	2.5	1.4	2.4	2.4	2.9	<b>1.8</b>
I liked this seminar/lecture more than others in the programme.	2.2	3.4	2.0	1.6	2.9	1.7	1.3	1.7	2.3	2.0	1.7	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.8	3.1	<b>2.3</b>
How would you grade the seminar/lecture in whole ?	1.5	2.2	1.6	1.2	1.9	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.7	1.4	1.5	2.3	1.6	2.1	2.3	2.7	<b>1.8</b>
I was interested in the topic of the seminar/lecture.	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.6	2.4	1.5	1.1	1.7	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.6	2.2	2.0	<b>1.8</b>
I was prepared for the weekly meetings.	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	1.5	1.7	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	3.0	3.0	<b>2.3</b>
I read the obligatory texts.	2.8	3.2	2.8	2.2	1.9	2.6	1.1	1.7	2.5	2.7	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.1	3.1	2.7	<b>2.4</b>
<b>Questions only for Seminars</b>																	
The support by the lecturer was in general good.	1.2	1.8	2.1	1.3	2.2	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.7	1.1	1.3	2.1	1.4	2.5			<b>1.6</b>
The lecturer advised me for my presentation.	1.7	1.9	2.5	1.6	2.2	1.7	1.1	1.4	2.5	1.0	2.0	2.3	1.9	2.8			<b>1.9</b>
The quality of the presentations held by students was good.	2.8	2.2	2.3	2.4	1.9	1.5	1.1	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.3	2.8	2.0	2.0			<b>2.0</b>
I participated in the class discussions on a weekly basis.	2.5	3.4	2.5	2.8	2.3	2.4	1.3	1.6	1.8	2.4	2.0	2.4	1.7	2.4			<b>2.3</b>
<b>Questions only for Lectures</b>																	
The overhead / powerpoint slides were helpful (if used).	1.7	3.1															<b>2.4</b>

coding: 1 = completely agree .... 5 completely disagree; except workload a) 1 = much too low ... 5 = much too high; b) in hours / week; c) 1 = much lower ... 5 = much higher; overall grade: 1 = very good... 5 = fail